

Is Stan Clark Dangeous?
Do Dr. Saunders and Dr. Lyman Care?

In response to numerous requests, I have decided to release information relating to a member of the School of Accountancy, College of Business faculty who has [stalked and harassed me](#).



Stan Clark, Professor of Accountancy

As many of you know, I do not provide this information lightly. However, many readers report that such a toxic atmosphere exists on campus that they no longer feel safe to go about their business. With some, it is fear of physical injury. With others it is the venomous smear campaigns from colleagues who want them gone. With others it is a malicious whisper campaign among “colleagues” and administrators designed to force out any semblance of dissent. Many of these readers are actively seeking other employment elsewhere.

Another factor motivating my release of this information is what appears to be President Saunders’ lip service to providing a safe working environment -- but only when she finds it politically desirable. She seems perfectly willing to use false, unsupported and unsupportable claims to punish dissent. She seems perfectly willing to ignore sworn testimony by faculty who have been the victims of threats and violence. After all, no one brought it to her attention. Actually, she was sitting in the courtroom when the testimony was offered!

In fact, the manner in which the Saunders administration deals with safety concerns is appalling. As one usmnews.net reader noted, [Dr. Stephen Bushardt](#) is honored as a Professor Emeritus, even after his (former) wife sought and received a restraining order based on her affidavit of Dr. Bushardt’s alcohol abuse, and violent, threatening behavior directed at her and her children.

Two, now former faculty, testified under oath, in Dr. Saunders’ presence, about being threatened, berated and assaulted by former Associate Dean Farhang Niroomand. One faculty testified that Drs. Harold Doty and George Carter had to physically restrain Dr. Niroomand to prevent an attack on a colleague. What was her response? Nothing!

Two, now former faculty, testified under oath that Dr. Cherylyn Becker screamed and swore at a secretary – “went ballistic” -- loudly enough that faculty came out of their offices to see what was happening, yet Dr. Saunders did nothing.

Dr. Stanley Clark, professor of accountancy is the person who has stalked and harassed me with repeated, unwanted communications. Dr. Clark's repeated, unwanted conduct seriously alarmed, annoyed, and harassed me. His communications served no legitimate purpose. As I advised, Provost Lyman, these communications are also troubling because Dr. Clark claims that he is so afraid of me that he keeps a golf club in his office. However, in a bizarre contradiction, he zealously continued to stalk and harass me. A copy of my letter to Provost Lyman may be reviewed by [clicking here](#).

What did Dr. Saunders and Dr. Lyman do with respect to Dr. Clark? As I advised Dr. Lyman, talking to Dr. Clark is likely to paint a proverbial target on my back. Ms. Woodrick at EEOC advised me that Dr. Clark had been instructed to have no further communications with me. I never heard from Dr. Lyman or Dr. Saunders.

Is Dr. Clark such a fanatic that he will continue these unwanted communications? Will he use the veneer of religion – apparently claiming, among other things, that I am an atheist – to harass me? (For the record, I am not an atheist, unless not sharing Dr. Clark's religious beliefs justifies his claims.) I don't know the answer to the questions. However, I do know that Dr. Saunders' alleged concern for safety do not apply to Dr. Clark, Dr. Bushardt, Dr. Niroomand or Dr. Becker.